

MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

Utah Department of Natural Resources

March 1, 2005

CONVENE: 8:00 a.m.

1. Introductions, review/modify agenda and time allocations, and appoint a time-keeper (Shields, 5 min.) - The agenda was modified as it appears below.
2. Approve December 13, meeting, and January 18, 2005, conference call summary - the summaries were approved as written.
3. Updates
 - a. Status of humpback chub recovery goals lawsuit - Bob Muth said the Service filed a supplement to the administrative record with the court on February 25. Bob believes the court will make a decision very soon on the motion to dismiss.
 - b. Flaming Gorge EIS update - Randy Peterson said the biological opinion (BO) is expected from the Service by the end of March (the BO will be part of the EIS). The record of decision (ROD) is expected in June. Randy said Reclamation anticipates spring releases of 2-4 weeks at power plant capacity. Randy agreed with John Shields that some public meetings explaining what this means will be appropriate when the ROD is released. John Reber suggested that a brief brochure or something similar to answer questions about Flaming Gorge operations might be useful for those meetings and going forward. Matthew Andersen said Utah would like to work with Debbie Felker and Reclamation on the press release, etc. (in light of concerns about the trout fishery).
 - c. Aspinall EIS and consultation process update - Brent Uilenberg distributed an update. The cooperating agencies have met four times and will meet again on April 1 (when formulating alternatives will be the primary agenda item). More information is available at www.usbr.gov/uc/wcao/. Tom Pitts asked about the letter from the Service and Reclamation regarding the Aspinall EIS, putting in writing their commitment to do a programmatic biological opinion on the Gunnison River. Randy Peterson said the draft letter is on Rick Gold's desk for signature today, then it will go to Ralph Morgenweck. Chuck McAda will give an overview of the flow recommendations at the April 1 meeting, and Western Area Power Administration will propose alternatives. Updates on this item will remain on Management and Implementation committee meeting agendas.
 - d. Elkhead enlargement, agreements/contracts, and 404 permit - Brent Uilenberg reported that the Elkhead 404 permit was fully executed by the Corps of Engineers on February 11; the other agreements required for the enlargement construction are complete; the grant transferring funds from Reclamation to the River District is in place; the award for the general and mitigation area construction contracts were faxed on Friday February 18; and the contractor is

currently putting in the spillway notch. Bob Muth said a temporary screen will be installed to prevent nonnative fish escapement, although the Biology Committee needs to have a conference call to further discuss contingency plans for Yampa River smallmouth bass translocation in case the net should fail. Tom Pitts thanked Reclamation and the River District for getting everything done in time to begin work this season. Bob Muth commended Patty Gelatt in the Service's Grand Junction office for working with COE to get the 404 permit finalized.

- e. Capital Projects Update - Brent Uilenberg reported that the Redlands Water and Power screen is anticipated to be done on schedule (August 2005) and within budget. The GVP screen is somewhat behind schedule, but the facility should still be operational by August. The Price-Stubbs design specifications are done and ready to advertise. Union-Pacific Railroad provided a permit for access for the kayak park; CDOT permission is still needed. Reclamation is working with the parties promoting the kayak park to get a design with enough detail to go forward with the government contracting process. Reclamation has agreed that if the kayak park has the required local government support and permits, they will advertise for bids with and without the kayak park. The park requires a conditional use permit from Mesa County, which won't hold a hearing on it until June 16 (thus a contract couldn't be awarded before June 17). Ute Water Conservancy District has donated 10,000 cubic feet of riprap for the Price-Stubbs passage, which will help save some time and costs. Reclamation will initiate design work and an O&M contract on Tusher Wash this year, anticipates a construction contract in late FY 06 and completion of construction in FY 07. The San Juan Recovery Program has determined a need for a screen on the Hogback Diversion just outside of Shiprock, NM (140 pikeminnow were found entrained there this year after the irrigation season). The San Juan Program is still considering passage at two other facilities. Tom Pitts noted the good success of endangered fish stocking efforts in the San Juan and with catfish removal efforts. Tom Pitts reported the positive reception in Washington, D.C., to the proposal to extend the capital projects funding authorization. Tom said they plan to ask Rep. Cubin (WY) and Sen. Allard (CO) to be the prime sponsors (with the rest of the delegation as co-sponsors), and hope to see the legislation passed this year. The additional non-Federal cost-sharing comes from a conservatively-estimated \$7.1 M in additional power revenue losses from Flaming Gorge over and above their previous estimate and \$3.9 M difference of actual costs of 2,000 af lease of water from Elkhead Reservoir (versus the agreed-to charge of \$50/af for this water). In total, then, the capital funds authorization would be for a \$126 M ceiling (\$65 M in non-federal and \$61 M in Federal contributions). John Shields is working on the actual bill language (~ 10 lines of text). Tom Pitts said they outlined the reasons for the increased costs when they met with folks in D.C., and specifically commended Reclamation's Grand Junction office for their excellent management of the capital projects.
- f. FY 05 work plan update - Angela Kantola reviewed the updated budget table e-mailed in advance of the meeting. The agreement for one-half the standard overhead rate on funds transferred from Reclamation to the Service expires in

2010; >Tom Pitts will raise the need to extend this (and apply the same agreement to the San Juan program) with the Service in Washington, D.C., >Angela Kantola will provide >Mary Henry with a copy of the letter so she can raise the issue with Elliott Sutta. Gary Burton asked what work will be done under the C-6-hyd project in FY 05 (there is no current scope of work); and the Program Director's office agreed to provide a revised SOW.

- i. One-time costs for Duchesne gages - Terry Hickman said the Service has been working on the amendment to the Duchesne Biological Opinion, which should be finalized soon. The opinion calls for a working group and coordination of water releases which necessitate upgrading some gages to real-time measurements. Terry distributed a summary of those needs and proposed \$33,000 of Section 7 funds. The Committee approved this expenditure; >Angela Kantola will update the FY 05 budget accordingly. The Program also may need to pick up operation of two additional gages in FY 06-07.
- g. FY 06 depletion charge and annual budget adjustments table - Angela Kantola discussed the draft table e-mailed in advance of the meeting and noted that >she will correct the error in Reclamation FY 06 capital funds (should have been \$1,401,000).
- h. Reclamation contracting and procurement procedures - Dave Speas said the draft procurement memo has been finalized (e-mailed to everyone last week). As discussed at the recent Biology Committee meeting, Reclamation is reviewing a list of questions that Angela prepared regarding how the new procedures would apply to specific projects. If agencies believe they should be exempt from the new procedures for some projects (e.g., because only the state or Service or someone they designate will be allowed to do certain work on endangered or nonnative sportfish, for example), they will need to provide adequate justification (e.g., enabling legislation, etc). Dave and Mark are drafting a letter to the agencies in both the Upper Colorado and San Juan recovery programs to encourage this. Some of the current funding agreements are good for several more years (most through FY 06) and projects under those agreements won't need to be competed at this point. With regard to last-minute work plan changes, Reclamation anticipates these can be addressed with modifications. Program Management activities of the Program Director's office are not expected to be subject to the competition regulations, therefore the PD's office can serve in a TPEC role and Biology Committee members not having interest in the proposals in question also can participate. Universities participating in the Cooperative Ecosystem Studies Units are already considered competed (includes CSU), so that should exempt CSU/LFL projects from any additional competition requirements. Reclamation will apply the new policy to both the Upper Colorado River and San Juan River recovery programs, but will work with each program individually. Projects directed to operation and maintenance (e.g., gages, leases, passage facilities, screens, hatcheries, etc.) will need to provide documentation that says Reclamation will pay the operator for the O&M for these facilities. >Tom Pitts

will send Reclamation a list of specific questions related to the new memo (and share these with the other members of the Management Committee). This item will be on the next Management Committee meeting agenda.

4. NFWF funds status

- a. Accounting for direct capital fund expenditures - Angela Kantola said the Committee needs to agree on a method for accounting for capital funds expended directly by Utah (NFWF cannot account for these expenditures because the funds never pass through NFWF). Reed Harris said he understands that Utah has expended \$373,000 directly. Brent Uilenberg said Reclamation understood that \$318,000 of this amount was unspent, and there is also ~\$50K in additional funds available from the FY 05 Wahweap O&M budget, and that the combined amount now needs to be placed with NFWF for expenditure to complete construction activities at Wahweap. Apparently \$160,000 has been transferred to Utah Division of Wildlife Resources and \$148,000 in the DNR ESMF funds. >Brent (or Bob Norman) and Matthew and Reed will have a conference call to resolve this next week and inform the Management Committee.
- b. Allocation State Capital Funds held at NFWF - Angela said that in light of the March 8, 2004, resolution to approve Colorado's capital funds expenditures to be paid directly to Elkhead in lieu of going through NFWF accounts, Colorado has initiated the paperwork to modify their contract with NFWF. This change necessitates the re-calculation of the allocations formula for expenditures of the remaining capital funds held at NFWF, so NFWF needs approval of the re-calculated percentage breakdown figures.

ORIGINAL ALLOCATION:

State of CO was obligated to \$8,065,000 equaling 61.21%.
State of UT was obligated to \$3,422,000 equaling 25.97%
State of WY was obligated to \$1,688,000 equaling 12.81%.

NFWF'S PROPOSED REVISED ALLOCATION:

State of CO is now obligated to \$0 equaling 0%.
State of UT remains obligated to \$3,422,000 which now equals 66.97%.
State of WY remains obligated to \$1,688,000 which is now 33.03%.

The Committee approved the revised allocation conceptually; recognizing that the actual percentages may change slightly based on the outcome of item 4.a.

- c. Price Stubb Fish Passage - Funds for the proposed water park feature on the fish passage will come from outside donations and would be considered "private" for accounting purposes. NFWF has suggested that these funds could be deposited into the Service's "Section 7 depletion funds" account. This would entail:
1) amending the BOR-NFWF agreement which authorizes NFWF to make payment to the BOR using State funds to also allow NFWF to make payment to BOR using depletion funds; 2) FWS authorization of deposit of special funds into

the depletion funds account to be obligated for the water park feature of Price-Stubb fish passage. To do this, NFWF would need: 1) a letter from the Service approving deposit of specially-designated funds to (and payment from) the small depletion fund for this project; and 2) from BOR, an executed amendment to the BOR-NFWF agreement (BOR # 01-CF-40-5640) to include depletion fund monies. Brent Uilenberg emphasized that these funds would not be considered any kind of cost-share. Tom Pitts said he can agree with this as long as it's absolutely clear we're not using Section 7 funds for this purpose, and it's clear that funds are expended according to approved work plans. The Committee approved this proposal. >Angela Kantola will prepare a letter from Bob Muth to NFWF approving deposit to and payment from the small depletion fund for this project. That letter will also be copied to the Implementation and Management committees. >Brent will work with NFWF on the required contract amendment, and also provide a copy of that amendment to the Implementation and Management committees.

- d. NFWF funding for Western Resource Advocates - John Shields reported that NFWF may be sending certain Program participants an e-mail requesting support for the grant to support environmental groups participation in the Recovery Program and encouraged everyone to respond promptly to that request. >John will forward the request he received to >Management Committee members so they can respond appropriately.
- 5. Washington, D.C. briefing trips - Tom Pitts re-capped his summary of the February 7-10 trip (see item #3.e) regarding extension of authorization for capital funds. John Shields said they also had some discussions in Washington about the \$690K of Service funding not included in the FY 06 President's budget (which will be a major topic of the meetings in the "usual" Washington, D.C., briefing trip March 9-15). John reviewed the strategy they used when this happened last year and said they would follow a similar strategy again this year to seek to have the funds restored. The briefing book is at the printer and will be delivered on Friday. Draft letters from the Governors have been prepared, as has an briefing paper on the budget. Tom Pitts said they will continue to work with the Administration to try to find a solution to the problem of the \$690K for the Service being cut each year (asking that it be put back in the base and then "fencing it off" to protect it from any future recisions). Tom said they also will seek an increase in Service funds to provide the funding for San Juan River Recovery Program management (which has come from power revenues for the last several years). Dave Mazour noted that the President's FY 06 budget includes a proposal to change the basis of power marketing/pricing.
- 6. Program planning
 - a. Schedule - Bob Muth reviewed the work planning schedule for revising the RIPRAP and preparing the FY 06-07 work plan (see attachment for possible modifications to the process to incorporate Reclamation's new requirements for competition).

Note: The Implementation Committee gave the Management Committee their

**proxy to approve the RIPRAP assessment, RIPRAP revisions, and FY 06-07
Program Guidance:**

- b. RIPRAP assessment - John Shields noted the Water Acquisition Committee's recommendation to improve the HUP decision-making process to operate the system to the best advantage. Brent Uilenberg suggested that if we face a similar situation of overly-conservative operation again, it might be appropriate to have additional participation on the weekly HUP conference calls (e.g., Dan Luecke and/or John Shields might want to participate in the call to encourage releases for instream flows). The Committee reviewed and approved the revised draft RIPRAP assessment.
- c. RIPRAP revisions - With regard to tributary PBO's, the first step is to estimate future water demands. >Robert King will provide Utah's projections for water use on the White and San Rafael rivers. (*Done.*) >George Smith will pull Colorado's White River projections from SWSI documents. Melissa Trammell discussed her recommendations regarding the Dolores River and monitoring to determine if stocked bonytail are using the Dolores. >The Program Director's office will add text to section 3.7.2 of the RIPRAP text to note that the Program will consider the need for additional recovery actions in the Dolores River as new information becomes available. Melissa also noted that the RIPRAP text in general doesn't discuss monitoring stocked fish to determine stocking success; >the Program Director's Office will add text to section 2.4 or 2.5 of the RIPRAP text that outlines how stocking success is being evaluated. Matthew Andersen said the Division of Wildlife Resources is applying for other funds to work on the lower Dolores in the state FY 06. >Bob Muth will send UDWR a letter of support for that work. Tom Chart said the information provided by Tom Nesler doesn't support the language in the RIPRAP on the White River (3.b.1.a) that says escapement of black crappie from Kenney Reservoir is not a problem. We either need to cite a report that does support that statement, or the RIPRAP needs to be changed (in which case the Program Director's office will post a proposed RIPRAP change to the listserver). >The Program Director will work with Bill Davis and Tom Chart regarding Bill's suggested changes to the RIPRAP text and post suggested revisions to the listserver for Biology Committee agreement before finalizing the RIPRAP. >The Program Director's office will work with Brent Uilenberg to make the FY 05 capital projects cost in the RIPRAP budget table match Reclamation's February 2004 table. The Committee approved the revised RIPRAP, with the foregoing additional revisions. >The Program Director's office will finalize, print, and distribute the revised RIPRAP. Tom Pitts suggested revising the RIPRAP next year to better address the Argonne report recommendations for geomorphic work to evaluate flow recommendations (perhaps under the General Action Plan instead of the individual basin plans).
- d. FY 06-07 Program Guidance - Brent Uilenberg and Tom Pitts questioned the use of capital funds for re-leasing growout ponds, as that has never been figured into the capital projects ceiling. Bob Muth noted that if the cost to re-lease the ponds could be deferred until October 2006, we could consider using FY 07 annual

funds instead of capital funds; >Brent Uilenberg will ask Betty Reed if this is possible; or alternatively, if the costs could be annualized. Matthew Andersen suggested another alternative would be to growout the fish at Wahweap (saying that he believes the Grand Valley Hatchery is health-certified by Utah annually). In any case, the cost will be moved back to annual/O&M funds. Gary Burton said Western would like the Program to evaluate inundation area as a function of flow regime in priority depression wetlands when flows are adequate in FY 05 or 06 (no later than 07). This work would occur under C-6-hyd, but the scope of work needs to be updated (currently only goes through FY 03). Bob Muth said he thinks we can do this under the site evaluations in C-6-hyd, and said he also thinks TetraTech may have additional data we haven't fully considered. Bob said the site evaluation and the entrainment study are poised to help answer the key questions about floodplains in FY 05 if flows are available. Tom Iseman said he hopes we're able to monitor a range of important questions related to Flaming Gorge flow releases. Dave Speas said that Randy Peterson asked how prepared the Program is to address response to the flow recommendations over the long term. Dave said he believes the work plan includes projects to do that in FY 06-07, but wonders if the RIPRAP adequately addresses this over the long-term. Bob Muth recalled that Argonne identified the highest priority geomorphic work as in-channel backwater monitoring, which is what we've funded USGS to do on the Gunnison and Green rivers. The second priority was floodplain habitat, which we will evaluate as soon as we get adequate flows. Tom Chart recommended that it's helpful for the Program to go on record with a letter to Reclamation formalizing requested flows from Flaming Gorge. Tom Pitts asked for an additional \$12,600 for gage maintenance on the Duchesne and near Randlette (for 3-5 years). Bob Muth said that some cost-sharing from USGS for gages in Colorado may free up funds for this, and if not, we'll look for other sources. The Management Committee approved the Program guidance as revised; >the Program Director's office will finalize and post it to the listserver.

7. Recognition of Sherm Hoskins - John Shields presented a plaque to Robert King on behalf of Sherm Hoskins thanking Sherm for his contributions to the Recovery Program.
8. PBO's for tributaries - Tom Pitts said the water users are concerned that where there is no biological opinion, there is no coverage for incidental take (thus, the Program is not providing the same level of certainty for *all* water users). Tom said he believes we need to start working toward this on the White and San Rafael rivers (which are apparently the only tributaries still not addressed in a biological opinion). Reed Harris noted that a key question to do this is defining the Federal action. After we get the water demand projections from Utah and Colorado, > Tom Pitts will meet with Service representatives from the Salt Lake City and Grand Junction field offices to discuss next steps.
9. Reports status - Angela Kantola reviewed the revised reports list previously posted to the listserver. Angela said she's received updates on this list via e-mail and >will post a revised list to the listserver.
10. Schedule next meeting - The next meeting will be in Denver near DIA on June 2 from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. >The Program Director's office will arrange a meeting room. The August

meeting will be in Grand Junction August 10-11, with a tour of facilities beginning at 8:00 a.m. on the morning of August 10 and the meeting starting at 1:00 p.m. (adjourning on the 11th by 2:00 p.m.). >Brent Uilenberg will arrange transportation for the tour.
>The Program Director's office will arrange a meeting room and post that information along with hotel recommendations to the listserver.

ADJOURN 3:00 p.m.

ASSIGNMENTS

1. Tom Pitts will raise the need to extend the agreement to charge only half the standard overhead rate on funds transferred from Reclamation to the Service (and apply the same agreement to the San Juan program) with the Service in Washington, D.C., Angela Kantola will provide Mary Henry with a copy of the letter to raise the issue with Elliott Sutta.
2. The Program Director's office will revise the scope of work for C-6-hyd to reflect FY 05 activities.
3. Angela Kantola will update the FY 05 budget table to include \$33,000 in Section 7 funds for the Duchesne gages.
4. Angela Kantola will correct the error in Reclamation FY 06 capital funds (should have been \$1,401,000) in the FY 06 depletion charge and annual budget adjustments table and post that to the listserver.
5. Tom Pitts will send Reclamation a list of specific questions related to the new acquisitions process memo (and share these with the other members of the Management Committee).
6. Brent Uilenberg (or Bob Norman) and Matthew Andersen and Reed Harris will have a conference call by the week of March 7 to resolve issues regarding Utah's direct capital fund contributions for Wahweap, then inform the Management Committee of their solution.
7. Angela Kantola will prepare a letter from Bob Muth to NFWF approving deposit of specially-designated funds to (and payment from) the small depletion fund for the kayak park portion of the Price-Stubb fish passage. That letter will also be copied to the Implementation and Management committees.
8. Brent Uilenberg will work with NFWF on the required contract amendment to receive specially-designated funds from the Section 7 account for the kayak park portion of the Price-Stubb fish passage, and also provide a copy of that amendment to the Implementation and Management committees.
9. John Shields will forward to all Management Committee members the request for indication of support for the NFWF grant for environmental groups participation in the Recovery Program so that Management Committee members can respond.
10. Robert King will provide Utah's projections for water use on the White and San Rafael rivers. *Done.*
11. George Smith will get Colorado's White River projections from SWSI documents.

12. The Program Director's office will modify the RIPRAP as follows: add text to section 3.7.2 to note that the Program will consider the need for additional recovery actions in the Dolores River as new information becomes available; add text to section 2.4 or 2.5 outlining how stocking success is being evaluated; either cite a report that supports the statement about escapement of black crappie from Kenney Reservoir or change the RIPRAP (in which case the Program Director's office will post a proposed RIPRAP change to the listserver); and make the FY 05 capital projects cost in the RIPRAP budget table match Reclamation's February 2004 table.
13. The Program Director will work with Bill Davis and Tom Chart regarding Bill Davis' suggested changes to the RIPRAP text and post suggested revisions to the listserver for Biology Committee agreement before finalizing the RIPRAP.
14. Bob Muth will send Matt Andersen a letter of support for UDWR's work on the Dolores River.
15. The Program Director's office will finalize, print, and distribute the final RIPRAP as soon as revisions are complete.
16. Brent Uilenberg will ask Betty Reed if it's possible to renew the Grand Valley pond leases using FY 07 funds in October 2006; or alternatively, if the costs could be annualized.
17. The Program Director's office will finalize Program Guidance and post it to the listserver.
18. After we get the remaining tributary water demand projections from Utah and Colorado, Tom Pitts will meet with Service representatives from the Salt Lake City and Grand Junction field offices to discuss next steps for providing coverage for incidental take on those tributaries.
19. Angela Kantola will post a revised reports due to the listserver.
20. The Program Director's office will arrange a meeting room in Denver near DIA on June 2 from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m and a meeting room for the August 10-11 in Grand Junction and post that information along with hotel recommendations to the listserver.
21. Brent Uilenberg will arrange transportation and logistics for the August 10 tour of Grand Valley projects.

POSSIBLE MODIFICATIONS TO
FY 06-07 RECOVERY PROGRAM WORK PLANNING SCHEDULE
TO ACCOMMODATE RECLAMATION'S NEW PROCESS

(Possible modifications shown in red for FY 06.)

- | | |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11/10/04 | FY 2004 project reports from principal investigators due to Program Director's Office. |
| 12/1/04 | Preliminary Program guidance for FY 06 new starts (and 07 new starts which can be identified) requiring peer review (draft to Biology Committee in November). |
| 1/19-20/05 | Annual reporting/researchers' meeting (Grand Junction, Colorado). |
| 2/1/05 | Due from Program Director to technical committees (and their consultants and interested parties) and Management Committee: <ul style="list-style-type: none">o Draft revised RIPRAP, ando Draft FY 06-07 Program guidance (including recommendations for new, ongoing, and ongoing-revised projects). |
| 2/15/05 | Technical committees' review/recommendations on draft revised RIPRAP and draft Program Guidance due to Management Committee. |
| 2/28/05 | Management Committee review/recommendations draft revised RIPRAP and draft Program Guidance due to Implementation Committee. |
| 3/10/05 | Implementation Committee approval by this date. |
| 3/17/05 | Program Director issues revised RIPRAP and FY 06-07 Program Guidance;
Reclamation links to this to advertise it as an RFP, with note that funding sources are determined later and not all work will be funded through Reclamation. |
| 4/29/05 | All (new, revised, and ongoing) FY 06-07 technical scopes of work due from principal investigators to Program Director. Coordinators begin working (with technical advisory panels and principal investigators) to review and refine technical scopes of work and develop recommended FY 06-07 technical work plans. Insert TPEC's in May/June after this (or make BC the TPEC)? |
| 6/21/05 | Recommended FY 06-07 technical work plans and refined technical scopes of work due from Program Director and TPEC's? to technical committees. |
| 7/1/05 | FY 06-07 Program management scopes of work due from agencies to Program Director. The only Program management scopes of work that receives Reclamation funding are Reclamation Program management and FWS (Program Director's office). Both should be exempt from competition (based on Blue Book agreement that FWS would provide the Program Director). |
| 7/15/05 | Technical committees meet to discuss recommended FY 06-07 technical work plans drafted by Program Director. Committee members with conflict of interest in any scope of work recuse themselves from discussion of that scope of work. |
| 7/22/05 | Technical committees' review/recommendations on recommended FY 06-07 technical work plans due to Management Committee. Recommended FY 06-07 Program management work plan due from Program Director to Management Committee. |
| 8/10/05 | Management Committee meeting by this date to discuss recommended technical and Program management FY 06-07 work plans and approve projects for draft FY 06-07 Biennial Work Plan. Committee members with conflict of interest in any scope of work recuse themselves from discussion of that scope of work. |
| 8/25/05 | Draft FY 06-07 Biennial Work Plan due to Implementation Committee. |

9/9/05	Implementation Committee approval by this date. Committee members with conflict of interest in any scope of work recuse themselves from discussion of that scope of work.
10/3/05	Final FY 06-07 Biennial Work Plan distributed to Program participants.
10/14/05	Final scopes of work for FY 06-07 Biennial Work Plan distributed to Program participants.
11/10/05	FY 2005 project reports from principal investigators due to Program Director's Office.
12/1/05	Preliminary Program guidance for any additional FY 07 new starts requiring peer review (draft to Biology Committee in November).
1/13/06	Annual reporting/researchers' meeting held no later than this date.
2/1/06	Due from Program Director to technical committees (and their consultants and interested parties) and Management Committee: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Draft revised RIPRAP, and o Draft FY 07 Program guidance (recommendations for any additional FY 07 new starts and any <i>significantly</i> revised ongoing, projects)
2/17/06	Technical committees' review/recommendations on draft revised RIPRAP and draft Program Guidance (any additional FY 07 new starts and any <i>significantly</i> revised ongoing, projects) due to Management Committee.
2/24/06	Management Committee review/recommendations draft revised RIPRAP and draft Program Guidance (any additional FY 07 new starts and any <i>significantly</i> revised ongoing projects) due to Implementation Committee.
3/10/06	Implementation Committee approval by this date.
3/17/06	Program Director issues revised RIPRAP, FY 07 Program Guidance (for any additional FY 07 new starts and any <i>significantly</i> revised ongoing, projects), and FY 07 budget table.
4/28/06	Scopes of work for FY 07 new starts and any <i>significantly</i> revised ongoing projects due from principal investigators to Program Director. Coordinators work (with technical advisory panels and principal investigators) to review and refine these scopes.
6/20/06	Recommended FY 07 new starts, any <i>significantly</i> revised ongoing projects, and refined FY 07 budget table due from Program Director to technical committees.
7/14/06	Technical committees meet to discuss recommended FY 07 new starts, any <i>significantly</i> revised ongoing projects, and FY 07 budget table.
7/21/06	Technical committees' review/recommendations on recommended FY 07 new starts, any <i>significantly</i> revised ongoing projects, and FY 07 budget table due to Management Committee.
8/11/06	Management Committee meeting by this date to discuss recommended FY 07 new starts, any <i>significantly</i> revised ongoing projects, and FY 07 budget table.
8/25/06	Recommended FY 07 new starts, any <i>significantly</i> revised ongoing projects, and FY 07 budget table due to Implementation Committee.
9/8/06	Implementation Committee approval by this date.
10/2/06	Final FY 07 budget table and distributed Program participants.
10/15/06	Final scopes of work for FY 07 new projects and any <i>significantly</i> revised ongoing projects distributed to Program participants.
11/10/06	FY 2006 project reports from principal investigators due to PD's Office.

Attendees
Colorado River Management Committee, Salt Lake City, Utah
March 1, 2005

Management Committee Voting Members:

Brent Uilenberg & Randy Peterson	Bureau of Reclamation
Tom Blickensderfer	State of Colorado
Robert King & Reed Harris	Utah Division Of Water Resources
Tom Pitts	Upper Basin Water Users
John Shields	State of Wyoming
Mary Henry	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Dave Mazour	Colorado River Energy Distributors Association
John Reber	National Park Service
Tom Iseman	The Nature Conservancy
Gary Burton	Western Area Power Administration

Nonvoting Member:

Bob Muth	Recovery Program Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
----------	-----------------------------------------------------------

Recovery Program Staff:

Angela Kantola	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
----------------	--------------------------------

Others:

Julie Lyke	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Melissa Trammell	National Park Service
Matthew Andersen	Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
Dave Speas	Bureau of Reclamation
Terry Hickman	Central Utah Water Conservancy District
Tom Chart	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Heather Patnow	Western Area Power Administration
Clayton Palmer	Western Area Power Administration

